

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

GILBERT ELGRICHI; et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, IN C., et al.,

Defendants.


2:10-cv-0673-LDG-PAL

ORDER

By order dated June 10, 2010 (#6), this court denied plaintiffs' ex parte motion for a temporary restraining order, explaining that it did not comply, among other things, with Fed.R.Civ.P. 65(b)(1), in that it did not include a written certification of what efforts have been made to give notice and the reasons why it should not be required. The second motion for emergency ex parte temporary restraining order (#7) suffers from the same defect. Absent such compliance, plaintiffs may seek injunctive relief only on a noticed basis. Accordingly,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that plaintiffs' second motion for ex parte temporary restraining order (#7) is DENIED.

Dated this 10 day of September, 2010.


Lloyd D. George
United States District Judge